

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/882,781	06/15/2001	Carla H. Kuhner	HER-0050	9997	
75	10/01/2002				
Patrick J. Farley, Ph.D. WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103			EXAMINER		
			NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1			1645	10	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/882,781 Applicant(s)

Kuhner et al Art Unit

Examiner

Mark Navarro

1645



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply			MONTHES SPOR		
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rej	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will expire SIX (6) N application to becom	MONTHS f BAND	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action	on is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s)					
	Claims <u>1-31</u>					
Application Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 accepte	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	□					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (P1	TO-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				ent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 lr	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/882,781 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-26 and 30-31, drawn to peptides, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
 - II. Claims 27-29, drawn to methods of preventing the growth of a microbe, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.

Additionally, Groups I and II are further restricted according to MPEP 803.04, which sets forth that biological molecules with different structures are separate inventions. The examiner typically recites each of the recited separate structures, however in the instant application, such a recitation would be exceedingly large. Consequently, Applicant's are restricted to a single structure including specific substitutions for examination. Note, each recitation for R1 is considered a separate invention, likewise each recitation for R2, R3... are also separate inventions, given that each recited R-Group generates a molecule with a distinct structure. For example, Applicant's in claim 5 recite SEQ ID NO: 1-23, each of these sequences is a separate invention, given that each molecule has a separate sequence and structure. This restriction is equally applicable to claims not reciting a sequence, for instance each of the peptides recited in claim 4 is a separate structure, consequently each of these are also a separate invention.

Applicant's are further requested to identify which of the limitations recited in the generic claims are applicable to the elected structure. These limitations will be examined, however, any

Application/Control Number: 09/882,781 Page 3

Art Unit: 1645

limitation which does not correspond to the elected structure will be withdrawn from further consideration.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the methods of preventing the growth of microbes has been long practiced with materially different antibiotics such as penicillin.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Application/Control Number: 09/882,781

Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3225.

Mark Navarro

Primary Examiner

September 30, 2002